

From: [Butler, David](#)
To: [a1l1e1x@yahoo.com](#); [Katie Brown](#)
Cc: [Rebecca J. Dulin](#); [Heather Smith](#); [Grube-Lybarker, Carri](#); [Hall, Roger](#); [Knowles, Alex](#); [Samuel Wellborn](#); [fellerbe@robinsongray.com](#); [PSC Contact](#)
Subject: RE: [EXTERNAL] Kadoshnikov v. Duke - 2020-218-E
Date: Thursday, April 8, 2021 2:34:52 PM

Mr. Kadoshnikov:

I am writing to confirm that the Commission has received an e-mail entitled "A motion of discovery." In regard to Question # 1 for the Commission, I can say that documents on all cases are filed with the Commission in a computer in the Commission Docket Management System, which is fully searchable by everyone as to both documents and Orders. A researcher can go to www.psc.sc.gov which will take him/her to the Commission's website. Once there, the researcher must click on the letters "DMS" at the top of the page. This will take the researcher to the Commission's Docket Management System, and, in fact, to the Docket Search page. On this search engine, the researcher can search a topic by Docket Number, by an individual's name, or, by an organization's name. Further, a researcher can also perform a "full text search" of either Commission Orders or a "full text search" of matters, which are the documents making up each Docket. This site allows access to all paperwork filed with the Commission connected with various Dockets.

In regard to Question #2 for the Commission, I have to say that there are no laws that would allow the Commission to hear a case with a Grand Jury of 25 jurors. As an administrative agency, the Commission is limited by law to hearing cases with the membership of the Commission in attendance, which is made up of seven members. A Grand Jury of 25 jurors cannot be made available at the Public Service Commission, due to the fact that the statutory law imposes limitations as to who can hear a case before the Commission.

I hope this information has been helpful.

Thank you,

David Butler

Hearing Officer

From: Alex <a1l1e1x@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, April 6, 2021 6:48 PM
To: Butler, David <David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>; Katie Brown <katie.brown2@duke-energy.com>
Cc: Rebecca J. Dulin <Rebecca.Dulin@duke-energy.com>; Heather Smith <heather.smith@duke-energy.com>; Grube-Lybarker, Carri <clybarker@scconsumer.gov>; Hall, Roger <RHall@scconsumer.gov>; Knowles, Alex <aknowles@ors.sc.gov>; Samuel Wellborn <swellborn@robinsongray.com>; fellerbe@robinsongray.com; PSC_Contact <Contact@psc.sc.gov>
Subject: Re: [EXTERNAL] Kadoshnikov v. Duke - 2020-218-E

Mr. Butler can you please confirm that the Public Service Commission has received my motion of discovery?

A motion of discovery.

I need a reply by this Friday at 12 pm as I need to prepare for my next filing coming up.

I want to remind the Public Service Commission that they are a trust and I am a beneficiary. I urge you to do your duty and settle matters. When it comes to Duke Energy, I want to remind the commissioners that they first off are loyal to the bar, then to the state. Their loyalty is not with the Public Service Commission or even the people of South Carolina.

Since I am guilty until I prove myself innocent those same standards should apply to Duke Energy. For Duke Energy to win their case they have to prove that smart meters are safe, do not cause fires, do not steal people's information and resell data to advertising giants, do not intentionally make people ill and eventually kill them, the collector meters do kill everyone they just need time (I can prove the above, I have a witness that has proven causality. If they fail to prove the above and the many other things discussed in my letters they have lost their case.

Two questions for the Public Service Commission (66 questions for Duke Energy down below).

#1 I ask for their papers that they deposited with the Public Service Commission initially before they were given the green light, so that I can go through everything and match it up with what I have.

#2 I need an answer from the Public Service Commission if you will grant my request for a grand Jury trial of 25 jurors, as my deadline is coming up for direct testimony. I need an answer by Friday of this week if not earlier. Thank you.

As far as Duke Energy's motion of Discovery my answer to every question is: I plead the fifth.

66 Questions for Duke Energy, with question 66 having 6 total questions.

#1 Prove that when collectors or meters that collect the data from the meters around them are 100% safe and they do not harm individuals (I can prove the opposite of this, you prove they are safe).

#2 Prove that smart meters do not violate people's right to privacy. And that you do not sell their data to marketing companies among others.

#3 Prove that smart meters are grounded safely.

#4 Prove that they do not cause fires.

#5 Prove that they do not make people ill, sick, nauseous, and kill some.

#6 Prove that they do not cause harm to living matter like plants, and bees.

#7 Prove to the Public of South Carolina the testing that you have done to ensure they are safe.

#8 How much money has been invested into researching the safety aspects of smart meters?

#9 Which scientist can attest to the fact that this new technology will not in any way interfere, harm, hurt, make ill people or other living organisms.

#10 How much time was invested into testing the safety of smart meters by Duke Energy?

#11 Why are people's homes condemned if they choose to go off grid.

#12 Is it ethical and right to condemn a person's home if they choose to go off grid.

#13 Who gave you the jurisdiction to condemn people's homes?

#14 Why do you choose to call law enforcement and arrest peaceful people that choose not to have smart meters installed?

#15 Is it ethical and right to arrest people when they do not want a smart meter?

#16 Why is force used first when an individual does not want a smart meter?

#17 Provide the documents that you provided to the Public Service Commission before your request was granted to put these meters on people's homes. All of the documentation that you filed with the Public Service Commission.

#18 Is it moral to cause shareholders of Duke Energy to get ill, sick and die of the RF-radiation of the smart meters?

#19 Is it ethical to do no testing on the safety of smart meters?

#20 Is it ethical to destroy the reputation of scientists that for example published the harmful effects of the Motorola cell phone?

#21 Is it right to destroy the reputation of scientists that for example published the harmful effects of the Motorola cell phone?

#22 Is it ethical to charge people an opt out fee when they never opted in?

#23 Is it right to charge people an opt out fee when they never opted in?

#24 Is it a good business practise to fire meter fire meter readers?

#25 Is it right to fire meter fire, meter readers?

#26 What percentage of meter readers were rehired for different positions at Duke Energy?

#27 Is it ethical to invest zero monies into testing the safety of smart meters?

#28 Is it right to invest zero monies into testing the safety of smart meters?

#29 Is it right to have no scientist test the safety of smart meters?

#30 Is it ethical to have no scientist test the safety of smart meters?

#31 Is it a good business practice to have no scientist test the safety of smart meters?

#32 What public forums did you hold before installing smart meters on people's homes, so that people could ask questions.

#33 How long did these question and answer sessions go for, and how many questions were asked.

#34 What questions were asked at these public forums.

#35 What were the responses to these questions?

#36 If it turns out that smart meters do harm people, what action will Duke Energy take?

#37 How much monies does Duke Energy have set aside to fight against the removal of smart meters.

#38 How much monies is set aside for legal fees to protect Duke Energy's smart meter program.

#39 Does Duke Energy have the ability to shut off and turn on power with a flip of a switch, or by computer remotely?

#40 How much monies was invested into the smart meter program.

#41 Has Duke Energy conducted any dirty electricity tests with a meter right in front of the meter?

#42 How come when an individual puts a shield over their meter the electronic device measuring RF-RADIATION dramatically drops?

#43 Why is it when you call into Duke Energy and ask questions about the smart meter you get different answers?

#44 Doesn't it seem like someone is trying to cover something up by offering a wide range of answers that don't even look like the previous answers you've gotten when asking about smart meters?

#45 Why has Duke Energy failed to provide paperwork about Smart meters when asked?

#46 is it ethical to provide absolutely nothing when asking about the safety concerns of a smart meter when it comes to papers.

#47 Is it ethical to pass the individual to contact Itron and others for paper work?

#48 When you do business with a manufacturer they provide instructions with the proper use. Where is the instruction manual?

#49 Where is the instruction manual for precautions?

#50 Where are the warnings, like they are required for simple products like ladders in children's toys and plastic bags?

#51 Why is Duke Energy not transparent. Why are all the answers vague and there is never any clarity.

#52 Is Duke Energy aware that if in the very beginning answers were given, paper work provided, and 1-800-0000 hotline set up, this case would not have escalated to these proportions?

#53 Is honesty important in doing business with the public?

#54 On a 5 point scale with one being not important and five being important, how important is it to be ethical with the Public?

#55 On a 5 point scale with one being not important and five being important, how important is it to be transparent with the Public Service Commission?

#56 Has Duke Energy ever lied to the Public since its inception.

#57 If Duke Energy has ever lied since its inception, did it lie, and how?

#58 Has Duke Energy ever covered up its lies from its inception?

#59 Is it immoral to lie?

#60 Are there consequences to lying?

#61 Are there consequences to murder?

#62 Are there consequences to making people ill?

#63 is it true that first Duke Energy is worried about the shareholders and being profitable?

#64 Why did smart meters get installed in record time with no public discourse?

#65 Is it an ethical business practise to rush a brand new technology with no input from the public?

#66 Why has Duke Energy not answered any of my questions by it's attorneys? No attempt has even been made?

66 B. How does the general public perceive this?

66 C. How does the Public Service perceive this?

66 D. How do customers of Duke Energy look upon this?

66 E. How do shareholders look upon this?

66 F. How does the company as a whole look by practicing in these activities of answering no questions, yet demanding answers to their questions?

I wanted to keep this letter short as I value the time of the commissioners.

Respectfully,

Alex.

On Mon, Mar 15, 2021 at 15:58, Butler, David
<David.Butler@psc.sc.gov> wrote:

Thanks for the information.
David Butler

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 15, 2021, at 5:40 PM, Brown, Katie <Katie.Brown2@duke-energy.com> wrote:

Good afternoon, David:

Mr. Kadoshnikov's meter was relocated to a free-standing H-frame structure approximately 30 feet from his residence. It is my understanding that the location of the meter structure was selected by Mr. Kadoshnikov and approved by the Company's zone engineer. While Mr. Kadoshnikov previously received service through a manually read meter ("MRM"), he unenrolled himself from the MRM Rider so that he could participate in net energy metering using solar panels. For that reason, he is now served by a smart meter. We hope this information is helpful.

Thank you,

Katie

Katie M. Brown

Counsel

Duke Energy

C: 864.590.7407

From: Butler, David <David.Butler@psc.sc.gov>

Sent: Wednesday, March 10, 2021 1:47 PM

To: Dulin, Rebecca Jean <Rebecca.Dulin@duke-energy.com>; Smith, Heather Shirley <Heather.Smith@duke-energy.com>; Grube-Lybarker, Carri <clybarker@scconsumer.gov>; Hall, Roger <RHall@scconsumer.gov>; a111e1x@yahoo.com; Knowles, Alex <aknowles@ors.sc.gov>; Brown, Katie <Katie.Brown2@duke-energy.com>; Samuel Wellborn <swellborn@robinsongray.com>; fellerbe@robinsongray.com; PSC_Contact <Contact@psc.sc.gov>

Subject: [EXTERNAL] Kadoshnikov v. Duke - 2020-218-E

***** CAUTION! EXTERNAL SENDER *** STOP. ASSESS. VERIFY!!** Were you expecting this email? Are grammar and spelling correct? Does the content make sense? Can you verify

the sender? If suspicious report it, then do not click links, open attachments or enter your ID or password.

To Katie Brown, Esquire:

Thank you for your e-mail of March 1, 2021, informing me that, at Mr. Kadoshnikov's request, his meter was moved.

It would be helpful, if you could provide more details. I would like to know where it was moved in relation to his residence, and how far it is located from his residence. Also, please provide the type of pole provided, and whether the meter provided is a smart meter. I would also like to know whether or not Mr. Kadoshnikov is now participating in net energy metering. Please provide any additional appropriate information in this matter. Please provide this information by the close of business on Monday, March 15, 2021.

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Regards,

David Butler

Hearing Officer